



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/314,058 | 05/18/1999 | MICHAEL V. LEMAN | MICE-0026-US 4641 | |
| 7 | 590 04/25/2003 | | | |
| COE F MILES TROP PRUNER HU & MILES PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | EISEN, ALEXANDER | |
| STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2674 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003 | 16 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|------------|--|--|--|
| , p | | 09/314,058 | LEMAN, MICHAEL V. | | | | |
| • | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | · · | Alexander Eisen | 2674 | 99 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re earned | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication. | | | |
| Status 1)⊠ | Pagagaive to communication(s) filed on 25 E | Cobrusty 2002 | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ 2a)□ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | /— | | accoution so to the m | aarita ia | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33 and 35-41</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🛛 | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-30,32,33,35-37 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)🛛 | Claim(s) <u>31 and 38</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers | election requirement. | | | | | |
| | he specification is objected to by the Examiner | · | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| | . , | • | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-15 | | | | |

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 28-30, 32, 33, 35-37, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitachi LTD ("Hitachi"), JP 05-197444 in view of Mitsubishi Electric Corporation ("Mitsubishi"), JP 02-129689 and further in view of Matsushita Electric IND CO LTD, ("Matsushita"), JP 06-161636.

With respect to **claims 28** and **35** Hitachi discloses a computer system and method comprising a computer (portable information machine and equipment 3 in FIG. 3) having a first display (4), a second display (5), a base unit containing a keyboard (6), the unit is separate from the first and second displays; providing the first display to face in a first direction; providing a second display to face in substantially first direction (as in the method of FIG. 5); configuring the keyboard to receive an input; and hingedly connecting the first display to the base unit (FIGS. 3, 5; paragraphs [0011] - [0013]).

Hitachi does not disclose, however, that the first display can be also configured to receive a pen input.

Mitsubishi teaches two displays computer system having two displays, (5) and (6), and a separate unit containing a keyboard (3), wherein the first display (6) is provided with a touch



Art Unit: 2674

tablet for inputting position information (see abstract, FIGS.2 and 5), and Matsushita teaches that the tablet is used to accept a pen input (FIG. 3; paragraphs [0021] - [0023]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to provide one of the displays in Hitachi with the tablet, being motivated by Mitsubishi, because it would add an important feature such as entering position information directly onto display by a pen, which would allow in turn to use a pen to activate various user interface elements, such as shown on the display (6) in FIG. 5 of Mitsubishi, or to input handwritten or drawing information.

As to claims 29 and 37, Hitachi further teaches hinge connection between the two displays (hinge 12 in FIG. 2).

As to **claims 30** and **41**, both Hitachi (in FIG. 3) and Mitsubishi (in FIG. 5) show folding of the first display onto the second display so that both displays remain exposed.

As to claim 32, Mitsubishi shows that the second display (5) is displaying the images while the first display (6) is provided with the tablet for receiving adaptable according to Matsushita to receive a pen input.

As to claim 33, the display (5) in Mitsubishi is conventional display while the display (6) can be a pen-based display.

As to **claim 36**, the portable information unit 3 of Hitachi has a structure as shown in FIG. 1 and thus constitute a laptop computer.

As to claims 39 and 40, the computer system is adapted to receive the input from pen and from the keyboard.

Art Unit: 2674

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 31 and 38 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the references, either singly or in combination, teach or suggest the computer system, wherein the first display and the second display are foldable onto the keyboard to provide a penbased tablet arrangement, in which the second display remains exposed and is adapted to receive the pen-based input.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Comolati, WO 99/31649.

Moscovitch et al., US 6,343,006 B1 (FIG. 42).

Kenji, JP 05-061635.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Eisen whose telephone number is (703) 306-2988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 a.m - 4:00 p.m.).

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Tong On

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivered responses should be **brought to:** Crystal Park Two, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Sixth Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be **directed to:** Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is **(703)** 306-0377.

Alexander Eisen

April 18, 2003